T U R K E S T A N DOWN TO THE MONGOL INVASION

BY W. BARTHOLD

Third Edition

WITH AN ADDITIONAL CHAPTER,
HITHERTO UNPUBLISHED IN ENGLISH
TRANSLATED BY MRS. T. MINORSKY
AND EDITED BY C. E. BOSWORTH, AND
WITH FURTHUR ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA
BY C. E. BOSWORTH

PRINTED FOR

THE TRUSTEES OF THE "E. J. W. GIBB MEMORIAL"

AND PUBLISHED BY MESSRS. LUZAC AND COMPANY LTD.

46 GREAT RUSSELL STREET, LONDON, W.C.1

1968

Second Edition First Published in English (aided by H.A.R Gibb) 1928
Second Edition (with Corrections and Additions by V. Minorsky 1958
Third Edition (with Additional Chapter, Further Addenda
and Corrigenda etc.) 1968

Printed in Great Britain by Lowe and Brydone (Printers) Ltd., London, N.W.10

THIS VOLUME IS ONE OF A SERIES PUBLISHED BY THE TRUSTEES OF "THE E. J. W. GIBB MEMORIAL"

The funds of this Memorial are derived from the Interest accruing from a Sum of money given by the late MRS. GIBB of Glasgow, to perpetuate the Memory of her beloved Son

ELIAS JOHN WILKINSON GIBB

and to promote those researches into the History, Literature, Philosophy and Religion of the Turks, Persians and Arabs, to which, from his Youth upwards, until his premature and deeply lamented Death in his forty-fifth year, on December 5, 1901, his life was devoted.

تِلْكَ آثَارُنَا تَدُلُّ عَلَيْنَا * فَانْظُرُوا بَعْدَنَا إِلَى ٱلْآثَار

"These are our works, these works our souls display; Behold our works when we have passed away."

"E. J. W. GIBB MEMORIAL"

CLERK OF THE TRUST

W. L. DOMINY, F.C.A., SUSSEX HOUSE, HOBSON STREET, CAMBRIDGE.

PUBLISHER FOR THE TRUSTEES

MESSRS. LUZAC & CO., LTD., 46 GREAT RUSSELL STREET, LONDON, W.C. I.

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION

THE fact that a third edition of Barthold's Turkestan has been called for indicates the permanent value of the work, unsurpassed after nearly seventy years in its breadth of treatment and mastery of abstruse detail. The task of preparing a new edition was originally entrusted by the Gibb Memorial Trustees to the late Professor V. Minorsky, whose own vast knowledge of the Iranian world aptly complemented Barthold's special field of Central Asia. Professor Minorsky's own death unhappily supervened before he could take the work in hand, and the Trustees then conveyed the task to myself.

Barthold's rigorous standards of scholarship, and his steadfast refusal to bend these canons to the exigencies of politics and ideology, did not commend him to the Soviet authorities. Barthold's greatness as a scholar could not be gainsaid, nor could his firm Russian patriotism, but his works became increasingly hard to find in Russia, and the results of his researches were only cited in a selective and often tendentious way. In the post-Stalinist era, the pendulum has swung, and Barthold has become, in the view of Soviet ideologists, ready for rehabilitation. In 1963 there began in Moscow publication of the whole collected corpus of Barthold's works, a praiseworthy project which will make available many studies which, for western specialists at least, have been largely inaccessible in the West. A Russian version of the 1928 English version of Turkestan has formed the first volume of this project, and it has been ably edited by the prominent orientalist I. P. Petrushevskiy.

A particular point of interest here is that an additional chapter by Barthold, absent from both the 1900 Russian original and the

1928 English version, is included in the new Moscow volume. The discovery amongst Barthold's posthumous papers of this long chapter was, according to the note by Professor Minorsky prefixed to the 1958 English reprint of Turkestan, announced in Moscow News of 28 April 1941. It covers the events in Central Asia between the death of Chingiz Khan in 1227 and the formation of the independent Chaghatay Khanate in 1269, and in the 1963 Moscow volume it comprises, with its extensive notes, pp. 531-84. Mrs. T. Minorsky prepared an English translation of this chapter; this has been edited by myself, and is now here printed and made available to the English-speaking world for the first time. It should be noted that the Russian editor of Turkestan has supplied further notes, and in some cases corrections, to Barthold's text; these are placed in square brackets. I have myself made some further additions here: these are also placed in square brackets, but are marked with the initials "C.E.B." My colleague, Professor J. A. Boyle, kindly read through the chapter and elucidated many of those Mongol personal names whose correct form was not known to Barthold or to the Russian editor; certain of his notes have been inserted, again in square brackets and with the intials " J.A.B."

For the 1958 reprint of the English second edition, Professor Minorsky noted that some copies of the Russian original of 1900 contain the "theses" advanced by Barthold when he presented his thesis, Turkestan, to the Oriental Faculty of the University of St. Petersburg. These form a helpful synopsis of the whole work, and have again been reproduced in the present third edition. Professor Minorsky also supplied a list of his own notes on and corrections to Turkestan. As many as possible of these remarks were incorporated in the text of the book, and the rest were prefixed to the book as Addenda and Corrigenda (pp. xiii-xiv of the 1958 reprint). I have myself accumulated numerous addenda and corrigenda to the work, and have accordingly combined these with the earlier ones of Professor Minorsky and a few more communicated

to me by Professor Boyle. The respective origins of all these are indicated by the initials C.E.B., V.M. and J.A.B. at the end of each point.

There only remains to thank, on behalf of the scholarly world, the Gibb Memorial Trustees for making this great work available once more.

University of Manchester

C. E. BOSWORTH

ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA

(" occasionally "), (V.M.)

In Brockelmann's work, the criticism of Tabari's methods is qualified by the addition of gelegentlich

Line

Page

3

18 and 19

		(occasionally). (v.m.)
8	19	A manuscript of part of an epitome of the Tājī has now been found in the Yemen. See M. S. Khan, "A manuscript of an epitome of al-Ṣābī's Kitāb al-Tāǧī," Arabica, XII, 1965, 27–44, who is also preparing an edition of this whole section. (C.E.B.)
+9	2	Thacālibi's Laṭā'if al-macārif is now available in an English translation by C. E. Bosworth, The book of curious and entertaining information, Edinburgh 1968. (C.E.B.)
10	13	Sallāmī's Ta'rīkh wulāt Khurāsān is also mentioned in Ibn Funduq's biography of Sallāmī in the Ta'rīkh-i Bayhaq, ed. Bahmanyār, 154, and in Juwaynī's Ta'rīkh-i Jihān-Gushā, ed. Qazwīnī, III, 271. (C.E.B. and V.M.)
II	n.I	For Farid at-ta'rīkh read Mazīd at-ta'rīkh (see p. 252 n.4). (V.M.)
+13	n.3	Correct the reference to Minorsky's translation of the Hudūd al-cālam to: G.M.S., N.S. XI, 1937. See also Minorsky, "Addenda to the Ḥudūd al-cālam," B.S.O.A.S., XVII, 1955, 250-70; these addenda will be included in a forthcoming second edition of the Ḥudūd al-cālam. (C.E.B.)
+14	24	Narshakhi's work is now translated into English, with copious notes, by R. N. Frye, The history of Bukhara, Cambridge, Mass. 1954; and see idem, "Notes on the history of Transoxiana," Harvard Journal of Asiatic Studies, XIX, 1956, 122-5. (C.E.B.)
16	n.4	The Istanbul manuscript of al-Fārisī's dhayl is Köprülü 1152. (C.E.B.)
16	15–16	For al-Bayyi ^c read Ibn al-Bayyi ^c , and the date of his death as 405/1014; on him see V. A. Hamdani, "Some rare manuscripts in Istanbul libraries," J.R.A.S., 1938, 561-2. Facsimile texts of the local histories of Nishāpūr have now been published by Frye, The histories of Nishāpūr, The Hague, 1966. (C.F.B. and V.M.)

last The identity of the two Thacalibis "appears to be established beyond any reasonable doubt" (F. Rosenthal, "From Arabic books and manuscripts.

(C.E.B. and V.M.)

III the author of the Gurar as-siyar," J.A.O.S., LXX, 181-2. (V.M.)

- The Bodleian MS. (d'Orv. X, 2) ends with the reign of the Abbasid al-Manşūr. (V.M.)
- The first book of the Ghurar as-siyar was published and translated by H. Zotenberg, Histoire des rois des Perses, Paris 1900; for an analysis of the unpublished part dealing with the early Islamic period. See G. Gabrieli in Rend. dell'Accad. dei Lincei, Scienzi Morali, Ser. 15, Vol. XXV, 1916, 1138-43. (C.E.B.)
- There is a partial edition of the Zayn al-akhbār, covering the sections from the Țāhirids to the Ghaznavids, by M. Nazim, Berlin 1928, and another partial edition, covering the sections from the Sāsānids to the Țāhirids, by Sacīd Nafīsī, Tehran 1333/1954. The whole text has thus still not been published, but an English translation is being prepared by V. Pontecorvo. (C.E.B.)
- 22 26 The citations from Bayhaqī in other works, and the apparent borrowings from him, are collected together by Nasīsī in his Dar pīrāmūn-i Ta'rīkh-i Bayhaqī, shāmil-i āthār-i gum-shuda-yi Abu'l-Fadl Bayhaqī va Ta'rīkh-i Ghaznaviyān, Tehran 1342/1963, 2 vols. (C.E.B.)
- Better editions of Bayhaqī now exist, sc. those of Nafīsī, Tehran 1319-32/1940-53, 2 vols. of text and one of notes, and of Q. Ghanī and cA. A. Fayyād, Tehran 1324/1945. There is also a good Russian translation by A. K. Arends, Istoriya Mascuda 1030-1041, Tashkent 1962. (C.E.B.)
- n.i The most recent and best text is that of H. Darke, Tehran 1340/1962, who has also published an English translation, The book of government or rules for kings, London 1960; translations into German, Turkish and Russian also exist. (C.E.B.)
- The Mujmal at-tawārīkh, edited by Malik ash-Shucarā' Bahār, Tehran 1318/1939. (V.M.)
 - 27 n.5 Read: Muḥammad Iqbāl. (V.M.)

28

- The Kharīdat al-qaṣr is still only in part published; the section on the poets of Egypt by Aḥmad Amīn et al., Cairo 1951, 2 vols., and that on the poets of Iraq, Part I, by M. Bahjat al-Atharī and Jamīl Sacīd, Baghdad 1375/1955. (C.E.B.)
- The Akbār ad-dawla as-Saljūqiyya of Şadr ad-Dīn (?) now edited by M. Iqbāl, Lahore 1933. (V.M.)
- 30 12 Zahir ad-Din's Saljūq-nāma has now been published

in Tehran, 1332/1954, together with the dhayl of Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm; it is thus Zahīr ad-Dīn's work, and the mere continuation of Abū Ḥāmid, which is the basis of Rāwandi's Rāḥat aṣ-ṣudūr. (V.M. and C.E.B.)

- 31 n.8 The Ta'rīkh-i Bayhaq, ed. Aḥmad Bahmanyār, Tehran 1317/1938. (V.M.)
- Muntajab ad-Dīn's collection, the ^cAtabat al-kataba, was published by M. M. Qazwīnī and ^cAbbās Iqbāl, Tehran 1329/1950; see also A. K. S. Lambton, "The administration of Sanjar's empire as illustrated in the ^cAtabat al-kataba," B.S.O.A.S., XX, 1957, 367–88. (V.M. and C.E.B.)
- 33 8 and passim For Sinjar read Sanjar (Turkish "He who charges, thrusts with his lance"); see P. Pelliot, "Quelques noms turcs d'hommes et de peuples en -ar (-är), -ur (-ür), -īr (ir)," in Oeuvres posthumes, II, Paris 1949, 176-80. (C.E.B.)
- 33 Vaṭwāṭ's Arabic correspondence was published in the Majmūcat rasā'il, Cairo 1315/1897-8; the Persian letters are now available in Q. Tūysirkāni's Nāmahā-yi Rashīd ad-Dīn Waṭwāṭ, Tehran 1338/1959. (C.E.B.)
- An edition of Samcani's text is now being published by the Osmania University, Hyderabad, Deccan, 1962– (6 vols. so far published). (C.E.B.)
 - n.5 The whole text of the *Ṭabaqāt-i Nāṣirī* is now published by cAbd al-Ḥayy Ḥabībī, 2nd edition, Kabul 1342-3/1963-4, 2 vols. (C.E.B.)
- n.i The third volume of Juwayni has now been published as G.M.S., N.S., XIV/3; see also the excellent translation of J. A. Boyle, The history of the World-Conqueror, Manchester 1958, 2 vols. (C.E.B.)
 - Read Shabānkāra'ī, and see on his work (still unpublished), Bosworth, "Early sources for the history of the first four Ghaznavid Sultans (977-1041),"

 Islamic Quarterly, VII, 1963, 18-20. (C.E.B.)
 - 51 8 The Rawdāt al-jannāt fī awsāf madīnat Harāt, ed. Muḥammad Kāzim Imām, Tehran 1338-9/1959-60, 2 vols. (C.E.B.)
 - 18, An etymology of the term bakhshi from Sanskrit bhiksu is now generally rejected in favour of one from the Chinese po-shih "learned man, teacher"; see Pelliot, "Notes sur le 'Turkestan' de M. W. Barthold," T'oung-Pao, XXVII, 1930, 14-15, and the exhaustive discussion in G. Doerfer, Türkische und

mongolische Elemente im Neupersischen. II Türkische Elemente im Neupersischen, Wiesbaden 1965, 271-7, No. 724. (C.E.B.)

- The Matlac as-sacdayn, edited by Muḥammad Shafic, Lahore 1360-8/1941-9, 2 vols. (V.M.)
- 57 n.2 The Ta'rīkh-i Harāt or Ta'rīkh-i Mulūk-i Kart, ed. M. Z. aṣ-Ṣiddiqī, Calcutta 1943. (V.M.)
- 70 The Kumijis (and the associated group of the Kanjina, who also occupied a valley running down from the Buttamān Mts.) were very probably remnants of early conquering empires in Central Asia, such as the Sakas or Hephthalites; Ptolemy mentions a Saka tribe of Kupisal (see Minorsky, Hudūd al-sālam, 120, 361-3). (C.E.B.)
- 127 18 Kufin in the neighbourhood of Karmina. (V.M.)
- 133 II Add:

 Wanūfakh الونوفنى iv, 942 In the neighbourhood of Bukhārā (evidently identroneously tical with Wanūfāgh)

 (V.M.)
- 186 39 Apparently: end of the seventh century. (V.M.)
- n.5 The form Rutbīl or Ratbīl, admittedly thus read by the Arabic lexicographers and philologists, has never been plausibly explained. It is, therefore, probable that Marquart was right and that we should read Zunbīl, to be connected with the god Zūn or Zhūn whose shrine was in Zamīndāwar in south-eastern Afghanistan and whose name is secured by Chinese renderings of the name. See amongst recent literature, M. Bussagli, "Cusanica et serica. I La fisionomia religiosa del dio Žūn (o Shūn) di Zābul," R.S.O., XXXVII, 1962, 79-91, and G. Scarcia, "Zunbīl or Zanbīl,"? Yādnāme-ye Jan Rypka, Prague 1967, 41-5. (C.E.B.)
 - 221 5 Ahdāth, "revenue from fines"? (V.M.)
- It seems very dubious that the Ḥusayn b. Ṭāhir aṭ-Ṭā'i, whose activities at Bukhārā Narshakhī describes at length, is identical with the Ṭāhirid Ḥusayn b. Ṭāhir b. cAbdallāh; see R. Vasmer, "Uber die Münzen der Ṣaffāriden und ihrer Gegner in Fārs und Ḥurāsān," Numismatische Zeitschrift, LXIII, 1930, 148-9. (C.E.B.)
- Barthold probably takes this account of Nizām al-Mulk's too seriously; see Bosworth, "Ghaznevid military organisation," Der Islam, XXXVI, 1960, 45. (C.E.B.)

- 230 n.12 Uskudār probably from σκουτάριος. (V.M.)
- 242 \(\sqrt{30} \) The whole passage from the Ta'rIkh-i Bayhaq on the establishment of the cAlids in Khurāsān is translated by Bosworth in The Ghaznavids, their empire in Afghanistan and eastern Iran 994-1040, Edinburgh 1963, 196-7 (C.E.B.)
- 5 Ibrāhīm b. Alptigin, one of Ibn Ḥawqal's informants, described as "Ḥājib Ṣāḥib Khurāsān" in Kitāb ṣūrat al-ard, ed Kramers, Leiden 1938–9, I, 14 (V.M.)
- 253 3I Abū cAli's laqab was self-assumed, and not bestowed by Nūḥ b. Manṣūr; cf. cUtbī,-Manīnī I, 155, and Gardīzī, ed. Nazim, 53 ("He subjected Amīr Nūḥ to every possible sort of scornful treatment and styled himself Amīr of Amīrs, the divinely-aided one "). (C.E.B.)
- 254 \ See now on the origins of the Qarakhanids, O. Pritsak, "Von den Karluk zu den Karachaniden," Z.D.M.G., CI, 1951, 270-300. (C.E.B.)
- n.i Whilst is is true that Kāshgharī spells and includes it in the class of palatalised i.e. front vowels, it is also worth bearing in mind that the earliest sources on the Seljuq invasions, the contemporaries or near-contemporaries Gardīzī, Bayhaqī and the author of the Ta'rīkh-i Sīstān, invariably spell Obviously, the etymology of the name is crucial, but this has not yet been explained with entire certainty. Recently, K. H. Menges has plausibly suggested one from salmaq "attack, charge forward" > salchuq "attacking, charging," attributing the various spellings in the Arabic script to variable eastern Turkish orthography (I.N.E.S., X, 1951, 268 n.2). (C.E.B.)
 - 261 n.i The correct form of the founder of the Ghaznavid dynasty's name is almost certainly Sebük/Sevük-tigin "Beloved prince"; the first element is already found in Orkhon Turkish onomastic (cf. Pelliot, "Notes sur le 'Turkestan' de M. W. Barthold," 16). (C.E.B.)
 - 269 30 Pelliot, loc. cit., suggested that Payghū might not necessarily be connected with another Turkish word bighu, "a kind of falcon" (cf. also Doerfer, op. cit., II, 427-8, No. 846 under pigū); but it is clear that, in this place at least, we are dealing with the head of the Oghuz on the lower Syr Darya and Aral Sea fringes, who undoubtedly had the title Yabghu. See now Pritsak, "Der Untergang des Reiches des Oguzischen Yabgu, Fuad Köprülü armaganī, Istanbul 1953, 397-410. (C.E.B.)
 - 271 5 Ilak: better Ilig, see O. Turan, "Ilig unvanī hak-

kinda," Türkiyat Mecmuasi, VII-VIII, 1940-2, 192-9, and Doerfer, op. cit., 210-13, No. 661. (C.E.B.)

- On cAlītigin, see Pritsak, "Karachanidische Streitfragen. 2 Wer was cAlī Tigin?", Oriens, III, 1950, 216-24, showing that he was not, pace Sachau and Barthold, the brother of the Ilig Nasr, but a second cousin, the son of Bughra Khan Hārūn, first conqueror of Bukhārā, and brother of Qadīr Khan Yūsuf. (C.E.B.)
- n.2 The anonymous work originally edited by Melioranskiy is, in fact, the vocabulary of Ibn Muhannā, Hilyat al-insān wa-halbat al-lisān, further edited by Kilisli Rif'at Bey, Istanbul 1337/1919; cf. Pelliot, op. cit., 17–18. Part of the Tabā'ic al-hayawān is now edited and translated, with an extensive commentary, by Minorsky, Sharaf al-Zamān Tāhir Marvazī on China, the Turks and India, London 1942. From Marvazī's account of this embassy (text *7*9, tr. 19–21, 76–82), the name of the second ruler emerges not as Bughra Khan but as "the Exalted Ilig, Yughur Khan"; Minorsky thought that this was probably the ruler of the Uighur Khanate centred on Kan-su. (C.E.B.)

See now on the Karrāmiyya sect, Bosworth, "The rise of the Karrāmiyyah in Khurasan," Muslim World, L, 1960, 5-14. (C.E.B.)

300 16 For Abū Şādiq Tabānī read Abū Ţāhir Tabānī. (C.E.B.)

300 penult. On cAyn ad-Dawla Muhammad b. Naṣr, see Pritsak, op. cit., 224-7. (C.E.B.)

303 2 For a detailed account of this battle of Dandanqan (based mainly on Bayhaqi), see B. N. Zakhoder in Istoricheskiy Zhurnal, III-IV, 1943, Turkish tr. in Belleten, XVIII, 1954, 581-7. (C.E.B.)

Pritsak points out (op. cit., 227-8 and "Die Karachaniden," Der Islam, XXXI, 1953-4, 34 ff.) the inaccuracy of Barthold's statement that Böritigin Ibrāhīm b. Naṣr founded a new, independent Khanate in Transoxania at this time. There was really a split in the Qarakhanid Khanate c. 433/1041-2. The principle of the Double Khanate, with a Chief Khan and a Co-Khan, was destroyed after Qadīr Khan Yūsuf's death in 424/1032 by the ambitious sons of the Ilig Naṣr, Muḥammad and Ibrāhīm. cAyn ad-Dawla Muḥammad set himself up in Uzkend as Chief Khan, in rivalry to Sulaymān b. Qadīr Khan Yūsuf, the senior member of the dynasty, in Khotan and Kāshghar. Ibrāhīm established himself in

المردور

Samarqand as Co-Khan with Muḥammad. From this time, then, date the two separate Qarakhanid Khanates, an eastern one centred on Balāsaghūn, later Kāshghar, and a western one centred on Uzkend, later Samarqand. (C.E.B.)

المراجعة على على المراجعة المر

- It is generally agreed that Turkish bitik "official document" stems ultimately from the Chinese cultural world, Chinese bit (Karlgren, piët) "brush, write with a brush." Cf. Doerfer, op. cit., II, 262-7, Nos. 717-18. (C.E.B.)
- 317 16 Jikils: better Chigil. (C.E.B.)
- 318 The Khan was strangled on 25 June 1095 (see A. Ates, "Tarcumān al-balāga, das früheste neupersische Werk über rhetorische Figuren", Oriens, I, 1948, 56-7 n.). (V. M.)
- 320 n.i Read: Atrāk al-Khiţā. (V.M.)
- n.i The History of Semirechye is now available in English, tr. V. and T. Minorsky, in Barthold, Four studies on the history of Central Asia, I, Leiden 1956, 73-171. (V. M.)
 - 324 The Khwārazm-Shāh Ekinchi b. Qochar seems to have been personally acquainted with Marvazī, who was one of Sultan Malik Shāh's court physicians, and who derived from him information about his own tribe, the Turkish people of the Qūn; cf. Marvazī on China, the Turks and India, 29-30, 98-100. (C.E.B.)
 - 324 7 Amīr-i Dād "Amir charged with the administration of justice" is here the official designation of Ḥabashī b. Altuntaq; see on this office, I. H. Uzunçarsīlī, Osmanlī devleti teşkilatīna medhal, Istanbul 1941, index, and H. Horst, Die Staatsverwaltung der Grosselgūqen und Ḥorazmšāhs (1038-1231). Wiesbaden 1964, 93. (C.E.B.)
 - 326 On these, Sudūr of Bukhara, see Pritsak, "Al-i Burhān," Der Islam, XXX, 1952, 81-96. (C.E.B.)
 - 330 13 Maḥmūd Khān, the probable dedicatee of Anwarī's poem, The tears of Khurāsān. (V.M.)
 - 336 6 Read: Qilij-Țamghāch-Khān Mascūd b. al-Ḥusan b. cAlī (Pritsak, "Die Karachaniden," 55). (C.E.B.)
 - 338

 16 Early raids into Ghūr are said to have been made by Sebüktigin and the boy Mahmūd of Ghazna; a major expedition was also launched in 401/1011 under Maḥmūd's generals Altuntash (the later Khwārazm-Shāh, see below, 279) and Arslan Jādhib (see Bosworth, "The early Islamic history of Ghūr," Central Asiatic Journal, VI, 1961, 116 ff.). (C.E.B.)

382 30 Bukāwul = "food-taster, overseer of supplies for the court and army, quartermaster"; of uncertain etymology, cf. Doerfer, op. cit., II, 301-7, No. 755. Regarding Barthold's n. 4 on this page, that the Naiman term for bukawul was qunsat, Pelliot points out that the manuscripts of Rashid ad-Dīn used by Berezin have, in fact, qīshat and qīchat, and he hesitatingly suggests a connection with Turkish qīsh—

383 ff.

"crush, break up [food]" ("Notes sur le 'Turkestan' de M. W. Barthold," 25-7). (C.E.B.)
See the long discussion by Pelliot on the titles of these various guards of the Mongol Khans, in op. cit.,

27-32. (C.E.B.)

Akhta originally meant "gelding," and then "riding horse" in general, since the Mongols rode geldings almost exclusively, cf. B. Vladimirtsov, Le régime social des Mongols: le féodalisme nomade, Paris 1948, 45. This Mongol-Turkish term may be originally Iranian, cf. Doerfer, op. cit., I Mongolische Elemente im Neupersischen, Wiesbaden 1963, 114-17, No. 8, and also 117-18, No. 9 (on akhtachi "Master of the horse" = Arabic-Persian Amīr -i Akhur). (C.E.B.)

In the article of Boyle, "On the titles given in Juvaini to certain Mongol princes," H.J.A.S., XIX, 1956, 146-8, is is suggested that the title "Great Noyan" (Turkish Ulugh Noyan, Mongolian Yeke Noyan) was given to Toluy posthumously to avoid mention of his real name. (J.A.B.)

387 II-I4 According to Pelliot, "Notes sur le 'Turkestan' de M. W. Barthold," 33-42, the use of the red seal (al tamgha) is directly attested, e.g. by Güyük and the II Khanids in Persia; but when Barthold spoke of the use of a blue seal (kōk tamgha) for the most solemn documents, he was reading too much into Rashīd ad-Dīn's text. Instead, Pelliot tentatively proposed a connection with the kōkō debter "blue register" used by the Mongols for judicial decrees, etc., the use of blue paper or blue ink for official documents being widespread in the Far East (and consequently known at Qubilay's court). (C.E.B.)

It is universally accepted that Mongol toyin, Turkish toyin, stem from the Chinese tao-jen "Man of the true way (Tao)" = Buddhist monk; cf. Doerfer, op. cit., II, 648-51, No. 993. (C.E.B.)

389 n.5 But-parast here and elsewhere almost certainly means "Buddhist." (C.E.B.)

392 n.3 Barthold was almost certainly right in separating

- Mongol biki (better beki) from bike "noble lady, princess," cf. Doerfer, op. cit., II, 389-406, 410-11, Nos. 828, 830. (C.E.B.)
- n.I In Boyle's article, "On the titles given in Juvainī to certain Mongol princes," 148-52, it is suggested that, like Toluy's title Ulugh Noyan, that of Ulus Idi "Lord of the Ulus" (sc. the people comprising the leader's patrimony)" was bestowed on Jochi after his death. Barthold's identification of Ulus Idi with the general Jedey Noyan must accordingly be corrected; we are here dealing with one person only, not two. See further, Juwaynī-Boyle, History of the World-Conqueror, I, 86 n.i. (J.A.B.)
- 417

 Barthold has here confused this Alaq Noyan with the Alaq who led the expedition against Banākath; see Juwaynī-Boyle, op. cit., I, 46 n.14. (J.A.B.)
- 9 On Turbāy (Mongolian, Törbey) and Qabāy (recte Qaban), see Juwaynī-Boyle, op. cit., I, 141 and n.1, 166 and nn. 37, 38. (J.A.B.)
- 459 II In the title Sayin Khan, sayin probably means "good" not in the sense of "good-natured" or "sagacious," but in that of "the late, deceased, of blessed memory." It would, therefore, be a posthumously-awarded title. (J.A.B.)
 - 460 22 For Jaghatāy-Khān read Chaghatayid Khān. (V.M.)

CONTENTS

PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION i
PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION AND POSTSCRIPTUM (1957) X
Addenda and Corrigenda xv
THESES ADVANCED BY V. V. BARTHOLD xxi:
INTRODUCTION. SOURCES
I. THE PRE-MONGOL PERIOD
Question of the existence of historical literature in Central Asia before the Arabs, I. Arabic Historical compilations, I. Bibliographical works, 4. Sources of Țabarī, 5. Historians of the third century A. H., 5. The early geographers, 6. Historians of the Būyids, 7. Literature in the Sāmānid kingdom, 9. Tenth-century geographers, II. Works on the history of various towns, I3. Period of the Qarā-Khānids, I7. Historians of the Ghaznevids, 18. Eleventh-century writers, 24. Historians of the twelfth and beginning of the thirteenth centuries, 26. Historians of the Ghūrids and Khwārazm-shāhs, 31. Collections of official documents, 33. Travellers and Geographers, 34. Ḥaydar Rāzī, 37.
II. THE MONGOL INVASION
Chinese and Muslim contemporaries, 37. The work of Juwaynī, 39. Literature among the Mongols, 41. Yüan-shi, 44. Rashīd ad-Dīn, 44. Waṣṣāf, 48. Fourteenth-century historians, 49. Literature in the Jaghatāy kingdom, 51. The compilations of Mīr-khwānd, 57. Kitāb-i-Mullāzāda, 58.
III. EUROPEAN WORKS OF REFERENCE 59
Deguignes, 59. D'Ohsson and subsequent works, 59. Raverty, 60. Cahun and recent works, 61. General works on Muslim history, 62. Russian works, 63.
CHAPTER I. GEOGRAPHICAL SURVEY OF TRANSOXANIA 64
Definition of Transoxania, 64. Banks of the Amu-Darya, 65. Balkh, 76. Road between Balkh and Merv, 79. Road along the
Amu-Darya from Balkh to Āmul, 80. Valley of the Zarafshān, 82. Samarqand, 83. The district of Samarqand, 92. Road between Samarqand and Bukhārā, 96. Bukhārā, 100. The district of Bukhārā, 112. Names of towns and villages in the Zarafshān basin according to Sam'ānī and Yāqūt, 121. Valley of the Kashka-Darya, 134. Kish and its district, 134. Nasaf and its province, 136. Roads from Nasaf to Bukhārā and Samarqand, 137. Villages in the Kashka-Darya valley according to Sam'ānī and Yāqūt, 139. Khorezmia, 142. Kāth, 144. Khorezmian canals, 146. Gurgānj,

146. Magdisi's itineraries, 147. Roads from Khorezmia to the neighbouring provinces, 154. Basin of the Syr-Darya, 155. Farghāna, 155. Khojend, 164. Ushrūsana, 165. Ilaq and Shāsh, 169. Isfījāb and its province, 175. Lower reaches of the Syr-Darya, 178.

CHAPTER II. CENTRAL ASIA DOWN TO THE TWELFTH CENTURY .

Life of the population down to the Muslim conquest, 180. Relations between Arabs and natives, 182. Qutayba b. Muslim, 184. Struggle between Arabs and Turks, 186. Umayyad governors after Qutayba, 187. Shifite movements, 190. Nașr b. Sayyar, 192. Administration of Abū Muslim, his victories and death, 194. Policy of the 'Abbāsids, 197. 'Abbāsid governors in Khurāsān, 197. Internal administration under the 'Abbāsids, 203. Debased coinage, 204. Tāhirids, 208. Rise of the Sāmānid dynasty, 209. Character of the Tāhirid and Sāmānid administration, 212. Rise of the Saffārid dynasty, 215. Administrative system of 'Amr b. Layth, 219. Policy of Isma'il in Transoxania, 222. War between 'Amr and Isma'īl, 224. Organization of the state under the Sāmānids, 226. Native dynasties, 233. Industry and commerce, 235. Taxation and customs, 238. Isma'īl's successors, 240. Rise of the Qarā-Khānid dynasty, 254. Bughrā-Khān, 257. Sabuktagīn; his relations with the Sāmānids and Qarā-Khānids, 261. The last Sāmānids, 264. Qarā-Khānids after Bughrā-Khān, 268. Isma'īl Muntasir, 269. Mahmud's assumption of the title of Sultan, 271. Maḥmūd's struggle with the Qarā-Khānids, 272. Dissensions among the Qara-Khanids, 274. Mahmud's conquest of Khorezmia, 275. Events in Transoxania after 1017, 279. Character and importance of Mahmud's reign, 286. Reign of Mas'ud, 293. Victories of the Saljuqids, 302. Rise of a separate branch of the Oarā-Khānids in Transoxania, 304. Differences between the administration of the nomads and that of the preceding dynasties, 305. Tamghāch-Khān Ibrāhīm, 311. Shams al-Mulk, 314. Successors of Shams al-Mulk, 316. Subjugation of Transoxania by the Saljūqids, 316. Nature of Arslān-Khān Muhammad's administration, 319. Victory of Sinjar, 321.

CHAPTER III. QARĀ-KHITĀYS AND KHWĀRAZM-SHĀHS 323

Appearance of the Qara-Khitays in Transoxania, 323. Rise of the dynasty of Khwarazm-shahs, 323. Struggle between Atsiz and Sinjar, 325. Conquest of Transoxania by the Qara-Khiṭāys, 326. Last years of reign of Atsiz, 327. Il-Arslan, 332. Events in Transoxania, 333. Events in Khurāsān, 334. Activities of the Qarā-Khitāys and Qilich-Tamghāch-Khān, 336. Takash and Sultan-Shah, 337. Rise of the Ghurids, 338. Successes of Takash, 339. Khwārazm-shāh Muhammad, 349. His conflict with the Ghūrids, 349. Events in Transoxania, 353. Course of the struggle between Muḥammad and the Qarā-Khiṭāys; two versions, 355. Conquest of Transoxania and subsequent events, 363. Operations against the Qipchāqs and collision with the Mongols, 369. Struggle with the Caliph, 373. Struggle with the priesthood and military party, 375. Dislocation of the Eastern Muslim political organization, 379.

CHAPTER IV. CHINGIZ-KHĀN AND THE MONGOLS

Character of Mongolian history in the twelfth century, 381. Chingiz-Khān's guard, 382. Military and civil administration, 385. Uighür culture, 387. Chingiz-Khān's relations with the representatives of civilization, 391. Division of the Empire into Intercourse between Chingiz-Khan and the appanages, 393. Khwarazm-shah, 393. The Utrar catastrophe, 397. Victory of Mongols over Küchluk, 401. Preparations for war on part of Chingiz-Khān and the Khwārazm-shāh, 403. Appearance of Mongols in Transoxania, 406. Chingiz-Khān's advance on Bukhārā and Samarqand, 407. Jüchi's advance down the Syr-Darya, 414. Fall of Banakath and Khojend, 417. Operations of the Khwarazmshāh, his flight and death, 419. Operations of Chingiz-Khān after the conquest of Transoxania, 427. Conditions in Khorezmia, 428. Fate of Turkān-Khātūn, 430. Fate of Khorezmia, 431. Opera tions of Jalal ad-Din, 437. Jalal ad-Din's flight to India, 446. Events in Khurāsān, 446. State of Transoxania after the Mongol invasion, 450. Chingiz-Khān's return journey, 453. Restoration of the cities of Transoxania and Khorezmia, 456. Quarrel between Chingiz-Khān and Jūchī, 457. Character-sketch of Chingiz-Khān, 459.

CHAPTER V. TURKESTAN UNDER MONGOL DOMINATION (1227-69) 463

Ögedey proclaimed Khan, 463. Characterisation of Ögedey's rule, 464. Organisation of the fiscal and postal services, 465. Chaghatay and his anti-Muslim policy, 467. Transoxania under its Mongol governors, 469. The rebellion of Maḥmūd Ṭārābī, 469. Dismissal from Transoxania of Maḥmūd Yalavach, 472. Deaths of Ögedey and Chaghatay, 473. Succession of Töregene, Ögedey's widow, as regent in Mongolia, and succession of Qara Hülegü to Chaghatay's throne, 474. The fate of Körgüz, 475. Succession of Gūyūk as Great Khan, 476. Deposition of Qara Hülgeü from Transoxania and appointment of Yesü-Möngke, 476. Güyük's hostility towards Islam, 477. Güyük's death and Batu's choice of Möngke as Great Khan, 478. Deposition of Yesü-Möngke and incorporation of Eastern Turkestan in the Great Khan's dominions, 480. Möngke's religious tolerance, 481. Regularisation of the fiscal system, 482. Death of Batu and succession of his son Sartaq, 483. Succession of Berke in Jochi's ulus and his conversion to Islam, 484. Attempts at a Mongol-Christian alliance, and the state of Christianity in Transoxania, 485.

381

xxviii

CONTENTS

Death of Möngke and civil strife between Qubilay and Arigh Böke, 487. Warfare of Arigh Böke and Alghu in Semirechye, 489. Warfare of Alghu and Berke, 490. Bid for power by Ögedey's grandson Qaydu, 490. Quriltay of 1269 in Transoxania attended by Qaydu and Boraq, 492.

NOTES TO CHA	PT	ER V							•	•	•	495
CHRONOLOGIC	AL	SUN	MA	RY	OF	EVEN	TS			•	•	520
BIBLIOGRAPHY											٠	528
GENERAL INDEX								•	•			539
DEFENENCE TAR	I.E	OF M	EDIE	VAL	AND	Mon	ERN	PLA	CE-N	AMES		570